## CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS

MANAGEMENT LETTER

JUNE 30, 2017

# Powers & Sullivan, LLC

Certified Public Accountants



100 Quannapowitt Parkway Suite 101 Wakefield, MA 01880 T. 781-914-1700 F. 781-914-1701 www.powersandsullivan.com

To the Honorable Mayor, the City Council, and Management City of Springfield, Massachusetts

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Springfield, Massachusetts as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017 (except for the Springfield Contributory Retirement System which is as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016), in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

However, during our audit we became aware of other matters that we believe represent opportunities for strengthening internal controls and operating efficiency. The memorandum that accompanies this letter summarizes our comments and suggestions regarding those matters.

We will review the status of these comments during our next audit engagement. We have already discussed these comments and suggestions with various City personnel, and will be pleased to discuss them in further detail at your convenience, to perform any additional study of these matters, or to assist you in implementing the recommendations.

The City's written responses to the matters identified in our audit have not been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

This communication is intended solely for the information and use of management of the City of Springfield, Massachusetts, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Powers + Juliani, LLC

December 18, 2017

## **CITY OF SPRINGFIELD, MASSACHUSETTS**

## MANAGEMENT LETTER

## JUNE 30, 2017

#### CONTENTS

#### PAGE

Current Year Comments	1
Operations of the Franconia and Veteran's Memorial Golf Courses	2
Prior Year Comment - Unresolved	3
Retirement System Funded Ratio	4
Informational Comments	5
Future GASB Statements	6
Documentation of Internal Controls	6

**Current Year Comments** 

### **OPERATIONS OF THE FRANCONIA AND VETERAN'S MEMORIAL GOLF COURSES**

#### **Comment**

The City owns and operates two municipal golf courses primarily for the benefit of City residents. The golf courses are managed by the City's Department of Parks, Buildings, and Recreational Management (DPBRM). The DPBRM has entered into a personal services contract with Ryan Hall's Golf Shop LLC to provide a Golf Professional and staffing necessary to operate the day-to-day activities of the two golf courses. The Golf Professional reports to the Executive Director of the DPBRM. The Personal Services Contract contains numerous responsibilities of both the City and Ryan Hall's Golf Shop LLC that are intended to provide for the overall management of the courses.

There is a significant amount of monitoring required by the DPBRM to ensure that the Contract is adhered to and that the golf courses are operated properly for the benefit of the City and its residents.

#### **Recommendation**

We recommend that City Management and the DPBRM develop detailed procedures to monitor the various aspects of the Contract. All parties involved should be in agreement on the mutual responsibilities included in the Contract and the agreement should be updated periodically to delineate changes as time goes on.

#### Management's Response

We agree with the recommendation and have a process in place to monitor the golf Contract.

**Prior Year Comment - Unresolved** 

## **RETIREMENT SYSTEM FUNDED RATIO**

#### Previous Comment

To comply with Massachusetts General Laws, the Springfield Contributory Retirement System (System) must be fully funded by 2040. As reflected in the most recent actuarial valuation (January 1, 2016), the funded ratio for the System decreased to 26.2% (from 27.0% in 2014). The funded ratio is the percentage of the accrued liabilities that are covered by assets accumulated to satisfy the liability. The System's ratio ranks among the lowest percentages in the nation. The current funding schedule places the System in a precarious position which could require future borrowing to fully fund the retirement plan.

#### **Continuing Recommendation**

We continue to recommend the System adopt a more aggressive funding schedule in order to avoid the need for future borrowing.

#### City's Current Response

A new funding schedule was adopted by the Springfield Retirement Board in FY16 in response to the recent actuarial valuation. The Schedule has total appropriations increasing 14% in FY18 and FY19, then 8% each year through FY33, with a final amortization payment in FY34. The new schedule also drops the assumed Investment return from 7.875% to a more conservative 7.650%.

Informational Comments

## Informational Comments

## FUTURE GOVERNMENT ACCOUNTING STANDARDS BOARD (GASB) STATEMENTS FOR OPEB

GASB Statement #75 - The GASB has issued new pronouncements that will significantly affect the accounting and reporting requirements for Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) similar to the changes that were required for Pensions this current year. This information has been communicated in previous Management Letters and management is preparing for this to occur in fiscal year and 2018.

## DOCUMENTATION OF INTERNAL CONTROLS

#### Comment

In December 2013, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) issued *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance) in an effort to (1) streamline guidance for federal awards while easing the administrative burden and (2) to strengthen oversight over the expenditure of federal funds and to reduce the risks of waste, fraud and abuse.

The Uniform Guidance supersedes and streamlines requirements from eight different federal grant circulars (including OMB Circular A-133) into one set of guidance. Local governments are required to implement the new administrative requirements and cost principles for all new federal awards and to additional funding to existing awards made after December 26, 2015 (fiscal year 2016).

In conformance with Uniform Guidance, the non-Federal entity must: (a) Establish and maintain effective internal control over the Federal award that provides reasonable assurance that the non-Federal entity is managing the Federal award in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the Federal award. These internal controls should be in compliance with guidance in "Standards for Internal Control in the Federal Government" issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (the Green Book) and the "Internal Control Integrated Framework", issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

The COSO internal control framework is generally accepted as a best practice within the industry including the best practices prescribed by the Government Finance Officers Association (GFOA). COSO is a joint initiative of 5 private sector organizations dedicated to providing thought leadership through the development of frameworks and guidance on enterprise risk management, internal control and fraud deterrence. The original COSO framework was published in 1992 and has been revised several times for changes in operations, technology, and audit risk. The most recent updates to the COSO Internal Control - Integrated Framework were issued in 2013 and are available at www.coso.org.

Management is responsible for internal control and to see that the entity is doing what needs to be done to meet its objectives. Governments have limited resources and constraints on how much can be spent on designing, implementing, and conducting systems of internal control. The COSO Framework can help management consider alternative approaches and decide what action it needs to take to meet its objectives. Depending on circumstances, these approaches and decisions can contribute to efficiencies in the design, implementation, and conduct of internal control. With the COSO Framework, management can more successfully diagnose issues and assert effectiveness regarding their internal controls and, for external financial reporting, help avoid material weaknesses or significant deficiencies.

The COSO internal control framework incorporates 5 major components of internal control, which are supported by 17 principles of internal control as follows:

- 1. CONTROL ENVIRONMENT
  - 1) Demonstrates commitment to integrity and ethical values
  - 2) Exercises oversight responsibility
  - 3) Establishes structure, authority, and responsibility
  - 4) Demonstrates commitment to competence
  - 5) Enforces accountability
- 2. RISK ASSESSMENT
  - 6) Specifies suitable objectives
  - 7) Identifies and analyzes risk
  - 8) Assesses fraud risk
  - 9) Identifies and analyzes significant change
- 3. CONTROL ACTIVITIES
  - 10) Selects and develops control activities
  - 11) Selects and develops general controls over technology
  - 12) Deploys through policies and procedures
- 4. INFORMATION & COMMUNICATION
  - 13) Uses relevant information
  - 14) Communicates internally
  - 15) Communicates externally
- 5. MONITORING
  - 16) Conducts ongoing and/or separate evaluations
  - 17) Evaluates and communicates deficiencies

Management should evaluate and assess the government's internal control system to determine whether; each of the five essential elements of a comprehensive framework of internal control is present throughout the organization; whether each element addresses all of the associated principles; and whether all five elements effectively function together.

This information has been communicated in previous Management Letters and management will ensure that these controls are documented, implemented, and monitored.